

people out there. Let them decide, as you did on your salaries, whether or not we are going to have video lotteries. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland, please.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 7 (3d), I would like to object to the body considering this amendment presented by Senator Labeledz on the grounds of germaneness. Let me address that issue, if I might, just for a moment. First of all if you look at the white copy version of LB 408, whether it is simply Section 1 that sets out the intent of the bill as currently written, or if you look through all of the amendments, the entire portion of the white copy amendment 212, it is clear that this bill deals virtually exclusively with pickle cards and with the pickle problem and with the bingo problem. Particularly, Mr. Speaker, on page 1 and 2, the bill states the purpose of the Nebraska Bingo and Lottery Control Act is to completely and fairly regulate each level of the traditional marketing scheme of pickle cards to ensure fairness and quality and so forth. To accomplish such purpose the regulation and licensure of manufacturers of pickle cards, distributors of pickle cards, and so on and so forth, making it clear, in the intent section of the bill, that this is a bill to deal with pickle cards. Once again, if you look through the entire white copy, it is clear that it is a bill that deals with pickles, and a bill that deals occasionally with bingo, but that the references to lottery are only by way of clean-up, they are only incidental. It really has got nothing to do with any of the statutes regulating lotteries. Now secondly, Mr. Speaker, if you look at the amendment itself it legislates in three different sections of Chapter 28. The white copy legislates virtually entirely in Chapter 9. The white copy does call for a couple of changes in Chapter 28 by way of clean-up and by way of modification. But the white copy does not amend any of the three sections that are dealt with in Senator Labeledz's amendment. Now, third, Mr. Speaker, let me read some provisions of Rule 7 (3d) that was recently amended by this body, not very many years ago, to deal with this kind of a situation. The rule says germane amendments relate only to the details of the specific subject of the bill and must be in a natural and logical sequence to the subject matter of the original proposal. A nongermane amendment includes one that relates to a substantially different subject or accomplishes a